



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,047	06/06/2006	Yutaka Imasato	21.1129	1603
7590	07/01/2010		EXAMINER	
Victor H Segura Schlumberger Technology Corporation 200 Gillingham Lane Sugar Land, TX 77478			BUI, HANH THI MINH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	
			07/01/2010	PAPER
			DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/553,047	IMASATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HANH T. BUI	2192	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the initial office action based on the application filed on October 12th, 2005, which claims 1 to 6 are presented for examination.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-6 are pending, of which claim 1 is in independent form.

Oath/Declaration

3. The Office acknowledges receipt of a properly signed oath/declaration filed on June 6th, 2006.

Priority

4. Priority date that has been considered for this application is April 7th, 2004.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 2 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claim 2, line 3 recites "*data obtained form the database.*", which does not make the sentence meaningful. For compact prosecution, the examiner treats as -- data obtained [[form]] from the database. --
 - b. Claim 6, line 2 recites "*application designer*". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For compact prosecution, the examiner treats as -- application [[designer]] builder --.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 1-6 are objected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomas Eller et al. (WO 02/31607 A2 – hereinafter, Eller) in view of Vinegar et al. (Pub. No. 2003/0164240 - hereinafter, Vinegar).**

Regarding claim 1:

Eller discloses *an acquisition and control system for use with devices installed in an [[underground well]], comprising:*

- *an installation designer;*

(FIG. 1 and associated text, such as, "When new process objects are required, the generator 20 will provide *a tool* to define the new objects quickly and accurately for subsequent re-use" (emphasis added – See pg. 10: 9-11)).

- *a data server including a database of device-specific and installation-specific data;*

(FIGS. 1, 12 and associated text, such as, "an application constructed from a process object or *smart control device (SCD) specification* and *maintenance database* ... The SCD specification provides the definition and maintenance of control objects to be used in the automation system ... The

application generator builds the application from a user's process design utilizing the control objects ..." (emphasis added – See pg. 3: 3-11).

"... *A SCD may define an object* in the real world, e.g., motor, valve, temperature transmitter, etc., and it can also *define a software object* which is used for regulation control or other control functions ... An SCD library 26 contains the SCDs 22 ..." (emphasis added – See pg. 11: 6-17)).

- *an application builder; and*

(FIG. 1 – application generator 20).

- *a control and acquisition system; wherein*

(FIG. 3 – control system 24).

- *the installation designer comprises a system for defining a hardware and software functional configuration for the devices installed in [[the well]], the functional configuration being provided to the data server;*

(FIG. 1 and associated text, such as, "When new process objects are required, the generator 20 will provide a *tool* to define the new objects quickly and accurately for *subsequent re-use*" (emphasis added – See pg. 10: 9-11).

"... the device comprises a supervisory aspect and a control aspect of the device. A *physical model* of the control process is defined ... a *topological model* of the control process is also defined ... The physical and topological models are analyzed ... input into a generator ..." (emphasis added – See pg. 2: 23-31)).

- *the application builder comprises a system for obtaining software components from the data server and configuring such components to correspond to the functional configuration defined by the installation designer, the*

application builder outputting the configured software components to the control and acquisition system; and

(FIGS. 1, 12 and associated text, such as, “The physical and topological models are analyzed ... input into a generator and an application for the control system is generated ...” (emphasis added – See pg. 2:28-32).

“The models are input into the generator wherein the *application is to be generated* and executed on the control system ... an application constructed from a process object or *smart control device (SCD) specification* and *maintenance database* ... The SCD specification provides the definition and maintenance of control objects to be used in the automation system ... The *application generator builds the application* from a user's process design utilizing the control objects ...” (emphasis added – See pg. 3: 3-11)

“SCDs 22 are used by the application generator 20 to design and automatically *generate an application for a control system 24* ...” (emphasis added – See pg. 11: 18-20).

“The generator 20 will allow the designer to *copy equipment and to re-use* any number of copies in order to define the control process” (emphasis added – See pg. 14: 18-19))

- *the control and acquisition system installs the configured software components in a data communication and processing environment connected to the devices installed in [[the well]] so as to control operation of the devices and to acquire data from the devices in accordance with the functional configuration.*

(“The models are input into the generator wherein the *application is to be generated and executed on the control system*” (emphasis added – See pg. 3: 1-2).

“After the SCDs have been defined in the application generator, *an application is generated for the control system*, i.e., controller logic, HMI, communication throughout the system” (emphasis added – See pg. 3: 12-14).

“SCDs 22 are used by the application generator 20 to design and automatically *generate an application for a control system 24 ...*” (emphasis added – See pg. 11: 18-20).

“The application is now prepared for the HMI system ... Switching to *Run* mode ... The debug window of the OFS and the graphical display of the SCD created by the generator 20 will appear.” (See pg. 19: 26-33)).

But, Eller does not explicitly teach:

- *the well*

However, Vinegar discloses “A gas-lift well having a controllable gas-lift valve” (See Abstract). Vinegar further discloses “*The controllable gas-lift valve is powered and controlled from the surface* to regulate the fluid communication between the annulus and the interior of the tubing. Communication signals and power are sent from the surface using the tubing and casing as conductors ... the controllable gas-lift well includes one or more sensors downhole which are preferably in contact with the downhole modem and communicate with the surface computer, ... The sensors supply measurements to the modem for transmission to the surface or directly to a *programmable interface controller*

operating the controllable gas-lift valve for controlling the fluid flow through the gas-lift valve" (emphasis added – See par. [0014-0016]).

"electronics module can receive instructions from the surface and adjust the operational characteristics of the valve 220" (emphasis added – See par. [0059]).

"A plurality of sensors are used in conjunction with electronics module 106 to *control the operation* of controllable valve and gas-lift well 210" (emphasis added – See par. [0062])

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Vinegar into the teachings of Eller because such combination would have provided the ability to attain and maintain the desired flow regime. In general, well tests and diagnostics can be performed and analyzed continuously and in near real time as suggested by Vinegar (See par. [0020]).

Regarding claim 2:

Eller and Vinegar discloses *the system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the installation designer defines the hardware and software configuration in the form of software modules based on data obtained from the database*.

(Eller further discloses in FIG. 1 and associated text, such as, "When new process objects are required, the generator 20 will provide a tool to define the new objects quickly and accurately for *subsequent re-use*" (emphasis added – See pg. 10: 9-11).

“... the device comprises a supervisory aspect and a control aspect of the device. A *physical model* of the control process is defined ... a *topological model* of the control process is also defined ... The physical and topological models are analyzed ... input into a generator ...” (emphasis added – See pg. 2: 23-31)).

Regarding claim 3:

Eller and Vinegar discloses the system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the installation designer adds, modifies or deletes the modules.

(Eller further discloses in FIG. 1 and associated text, such as, "When new process objects are required, the generator 20 will provide a *tool* to define the new objects quickly and accurately for *subsequent re-use*" (emphasis added – See pg. 10: 9-11)).

Regarding claim 4:

Eller and Vinegar discloses the system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the application builder selects software resources from the database to fulfill the functional requirements of each module.

(Eller further discloses in FIG. 1 and associated text, such as, "When new process objects are required, the generator 20 will provide a *tool* to define the new objects quickly and accurately for *subsequent re-use*" (emphasis added – See pg. 10: 9-11)).

“... the device comprises a supervisory aspect and a control aspect of the device. A *physical model* of the control process is defined ... a *topological model*

of the control process is also defined ... The physical and topological models are analyzed ... input into a generator ..." (emphasis added – See pg. 2: 23-31)).

"The models are input into the generator wherein the *application is to be generated* and executed on the control system ... an application constructed from a process object or *smart control device (SCD) specification* and *maintenance database* ... The SCD specification provides the definition and maintenance of control objects to be used in the automation system ... The *application generator builds the application* from a user's process design utilizing the control objects ..." (emphasis added – See pg. 3: 3-11)

"SCDs 22 are used by the application generator 20 to design and automatically *generate an application for a control system 24* ..." (emphasis added – See pg. 11: 18-20)).

Regarding claim 5:

Eller and Vinegar discloses *the system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the installation designer and application builder operate at a location remote from the well site.*

(Eller further discloses in FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 6:

Eller and Vinegar discloses *the system as claimed in claim 5, wherein the output from the application designer is provided for installation at the well site.*

(Eller further discloses "The models are input into the generator wherein the *application is to be generated and executed on the control system*" (emphasis added – See pg. 3: 1-2).

"After the SCDs have been defined in the application generator, *an application is generated for the control system*, i.e., controller logic, HMI, communication throughout the system" (emphasis added – See pg. 3: 12-14).

"SCDs 22 are used by the application generator 20 to design and automatically *generate an application for a control system 24 ...*" (emphasis added – See pg. 11: 18-20).

"The application is now prepared for the HMI system ... Switching to *Run* mode ... The debug window of the OFS and the graphical display of the SCD created by the generator 20 will appear." (See pg. 19: 26-33)).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh T. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 270-1976. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thur., 9:30AM - 4:30PM.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hanh T Bui/
Examiner, Art Unit 2192
March 26, 2010

/Tuan Q. Dam/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192